		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summ	narv	10/022,095	VIGNOTTO ET AL.  Art Unit	
Examiner middled merview Gamin	iui y	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Michael J Kyle	3676	
All Participants:		Status of Application:		
(1) <u>Michael J Kyle</u> .		(3)		
(2) <u>John Gresens</u> .		(4)		
Date of Interview: 8 September 2004		Time:		
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description:	Applica	ant's representative)		!
Part I.				
Rejection(s) discussed:  N/A				
Claims discussed: 1 and 5				
Prior art documents discussed: N/A				
Part II.				
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING TH See Continuation Sheet	HE GENE	RAL NATURE OF WHAT \	VAS DISCUSSED:	
Part III.				
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview</li> </ul>				
did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.				
(Examiner/SPE Signature)	(Applicant	/Applicant's Representativ	e Signature – if appropriate)	

Application No. 10/022,095

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner contacted applicant's representative to propose an amendment to the independent claims 1 and 5, to overcome potential 112 issues and place the application in condition for allowance. Agreement was reached with respect to proposed amendment, and the amendment will be entered by Examiner's Amendment.